

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/869,175	Applicant(s) TSUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Dan Washburn	Art Unit 2672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Dan Washburn.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jeffrey Filipek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2006
Time: 10 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-48

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn called Mr. Filipek regarding a restriction of applicant's invention into two groups, group 1: claims 1-20 and 34-48 and group 2: claims 21-33. Mr. Filipek instructed Examiner Washburn to mail out the restriction, as his clients are in Japan and aren't easily reached.